

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/037,382 Examiner Michael Misiaszek	CACI ET AL. Art Unit 3625

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Michael Misiaszek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kathleen Chapman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 November 2006

**Time:** 3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . . . .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 18, 33-40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to Ms. Chapman that upon cancellation of claims 33-40 and Examiner's amendment to the remaining claims to resolve technical issues and more positively recite the novel features of the present invention, the application would be placed in condition for allowance. Ms. Chapman indicated that the Examiner should proceed with the discussed amendments to the claims..